

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/030,596	Applicant(s) MILES ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael V. Battaglia	Art Unit 2627	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael V. Battaglia.

(2) John A. Lingl.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) William R. Korzuch.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2 and 9-11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment to write the subject matter of claim 2 into claim 1, rejoin claims 9-11, and correct grammatical mistakes.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)